

2009 CALIFORNIA CLIMATE ADAPTATION STRATEGY

A Report to the Governor of the State of California
in Response to Executive Order S-13-2008



development inside future hazard zones in most cases if new protective structures would be necessary (strategy 1a). Additional strategies include (1) directives to promote innovative approaches to redesigning coastal structures, where feasible, that are resilient to the impacts of climate change and can serve to protect existing development in low-lying areas (strategy 1b), and (2) creation of statewide guidance and regional planning forums to help local governments update local plans and make planning decisions in light of sea-level rise (strategies 2a and 4c).

All levels of government are encouraged to consider:

- Incentive programs to encourage property owners in high-risk areas to relocate or limit future development.
- Clustering new development in areas considered to have a low vulnerability to sea-level rise.
- Creating additional buffers and setbacks for new construction to minimize risks to people and property and to protect coastal resources such as natural habitat and recreational areas (see strategy 4c).

Critical coastal and ocean habitats and recreational areas should be protected and maintained to the extent feasible. The state should identify priority conservation areas and recommend lands that should be considered for acquisition and preservation, especially vulnerable shoreline areas containing critical habitat or opportunities for habitat creation (strategy 1c). Future sea-level rise estimates should be considered during restoration efforts (i.e., grading levels for wetland restorations), and natural shoreline enhancements (e.g., species such as native oysters, eelgrass) should be designed to promote sedimentation and protect against shoreline erosion.

Adaptation Strategies and Actions

The Coastal Adaptation Working Group has identified the following priorities in addressing climate adaptation for California state agencies. The near-term actions referenced below are those actions that have been identified and which can be initiated or completed by 2010, if, in some cases, related statutory or regulatory changes are made. The long-term actions include those that will require support from that state and collaboration with multiple state agencies or that require significant legal or regulatory changes.

Strategy 1: Establish State Policy to Avoid Future Hazards and Protect Critical Habitat.

Near -Term Actions:

- a. **Hazard Avoidance Policy** – State agencies should consider project alternatives that avoid significant new development in areas that cannot be adequately protected (planning, permitting, development, and building) from flooding or erosion due to climate change. The most risk-averse approach for minimizing the adverse effects of sea level rise and storm activities is to carefully consider new development within areas vulnerable to inundation and erosion, and to consider prohibiting development of undeveloped, vulnerable shoreline areas containing critical habitat or opportunities for habitat creation. State agencies should generally not plan, develop, or build any new significant structure in a place where that structure will require significant protection from sea-level rise, storm surges, or coastal erosion during the expected life of the structure. However, vulnerable shoreline areas containing existing development or proposed for new development that has or will have regionally significant economic, cultural, or social value may have to be protected, and in-fill development in these areas should be closely scrutinized. State agencies should incorporate this policy into their decisions, and other levels of government are also encouraged to do so. Some state agencies already base decisions on hazard avoidance, for example Coastal Act provisions require that new development in the coastal zone be designed to minimize risks from current and future hazards, which would include

risks from expected sea-level rise, the Act restricts new development in hazardous areas, especially if it would require the construction of a protective device.

- b. **Innovative Designs** – If agencies do plan, permit, develop or build any new structures in hazard zones, agencies should employ or encourage innovative engineering and design solutions so that the structures are resilient to potential flood or erosion events or can be easily relocated or removed to allow for progressive adaptation to sea level rise, flooding, and erosion.
- c. **Habitat Protection** – The state should identify priority conservation areas and recommend lands that should be considered for acquisition and preservation. The state should consider prohibiting projects that would place development in undeveloped areas already containing critical habitat, and those containing opportunities for tidal wetland restoration, habitat migration, or buffer zones. The strategy should likewise encourage projects that protect critical habitats, fish, wildlife and other aquatic organisms and connections between coastal habitats. The state should pursue activities that can increase natural resiliency, such as restoring tidal wetlands, living shoreline, and related habitats; managing sediment for marsh accretion and natural flood protection; and maintaining upland buffer areas around tidal wetlands. For these priority conservation areas, impacts from nearby development should be minimized, such as secondary impacts from impaired water quality or hard protection devices.

Long -Term Actions:

- d. **Coordinate Policy Implementation** – State agencies should use outreach and incentive programs to promote hazard avoidance policies and sound management decisions for coastal habitat protection and development to all levels of government.

Strategy 2: Provide Statewide Guidance for Protecting Existing Critical Ecosystems, Existing Coastal Development, and Future Investments

Significant and valuable development has been built along the California coast for over a century. Some of that development is currently threatened by sea-level rise or will be threatened in the near future. Similarly, the coastal zone is home to many threatened or endangered species and sensitive habitats. We must acknowledge that the high financial, ecological, social and cultural costs of protecting everything may prove to be impossible; in the long run, protection of everything may be both futile and environmentally destructive. Decision guidance strategies should frame cost-benefit analyses so that all public and private costs and benefits are appropriately considered.

Near -Term Actions:

- a. **Establish Decision Guidance** – The OPC in close coordination with other state resource agencies should develop a statewide framework that can be used by state and local agencies as guidance in preparation of adaptation plans. This guidance should discuss current regulatory and legal frameworks and whether changes are necessary to pursue this approach to adaptation. In addition the OPC should incorporate this new guidance within existing decision-making processes as much as possible and tailor it, when necessary, to specific regional approaches (see strategy 4c)..

It should consider three key questions for helping to design and locate proposed or existing structures that may be threatened by sea-level rise:

1. Is the existing or proposed structure either necessary for the health, safety, or welfare of an entire region, or is it located within a hazard area for which protection will be provided because of surrounding high-value development?
2. Is it infeasible to relocate an existing structure or site a new structure outside the hazard area and still provide this health, safety, or welfare function?